

EAST LINDSEY DISTRICT COUNCIL

LOCAL PLAN

LOCAL PLAN EXAMINATION

STATEMENT

SUBMITTED ON BEHALF OF A E LENTON (HOLDINGS) LTD

We submit below a brief overview and further commentary on the Local Plan Inquiry process relevant to the sites we are promoting on behalf of the above mentioned client.

We do not propose to replicate and assume that previous representations submitted in respect of the aforementioned client and site will have been made available to the Planning Inspector and that they will be available in the document library for consideration as part of the review process. We refer in particular to:

1. The comments which we submitted to the Local Plan process on the 25th January 2017 timed at 14:20.
2. Comments made on the Local Plan sent to East Lindsey District Council (ELDC) on the 8th August 2016 timed at 17:06.

We have previously submitted other documents, comments and SHLAA documentation to ELDC.

At the moment our client's site has been omitted from the Site Allocations and we will revert to this through the Examination process in respect of the Site Allocations DPD.

At this stage we would just like to add some further commentary on issues relating to the Core Strategy document and relevant to Matters 3, 5, 6, 8 and 14 as indicated in our email of the 15th June 13:32. Those are the matters where we may, on behalf of our clients, attend the Public Inquiry to partake in the discussion and where we may have made a few additional comments below.

We will deal with the further comments under the headings below, which relate to the Examination Programme relating to the Core Strategy.

Matter 3

This relates to, in particular, Policy SP3. We have already provided some commentary and would like to emphasise at this stage:

1. The spatial distribution should give a high proportion of development to the towns, followed by the villages and to a much lesser extent, any other village sites.

We would also submit that consideration should be given to the weight to be given to the allocation of sites which are located on the edge of the main body of a settlement. That also relates to the fundamental principles of sustainability. As is alluded to in point 5 in Policy SP3, that is a matter that has been referred to in relation to windfall sites in relation to towns and large villages, but there must be a balance between that and also providing sites which are much closer to the main body of the settlement, as is the case with our client's property.. We will demonstrate further at the DPD Examination stage the attributes of our client's sites which are in more sustainable locations than some of those allocated (FRI 306 and FRI 321). Our client's sites should be allocated in preference, or in addition to those allocated in Friskney to date. This is a village which should feature highly in the spatial distribution and allocations. It is more sustainable than other sites which have been allocated.