

Part A: Personal Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Name and Organisation boxes for the client in 'Your Details', but complete the full contact details of the agent.

	Your Details	Agent's Details* (if applicable)
Name (including title):	Ian J. Canham Chief Executive	Alister Hume Director
Organisation (where relevant):	Broadgate Builders (Spalding) Ltd	Hume Planning Consultancy Ltd
Address:	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Post Code:	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Telephone number:	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
Email address:	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]

NOTE:

Representations will only be accepted that refer to a proposed change shown in the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications to the Pre-Submission Draft Local Plan (2017), the map changes or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report, incorporating Main Modifications (2017) or Sustainability Appraisal Report, incorporating Main Modifications (2017).

Your responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector without prejudice to the Inspectors final report.

You should not repeat or re-submit your previous representations, these have already been considered by the Inspector during the examination process.

PART B: Your representations

Please use a separate form for each representation.

B1. To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate?

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications (e.g. MM01):

MM 05

Description of the proposed Main Modification (e.g. Page 60, Section 8)

Page 28 – SP3

Please complete a separate form for each representation.

B2. Do you consider this proposed Main Modification is:

Legally compliant?

Please select one answer

Yes

X

No

Sound?

Please select one answer

Yes

X

No

B3: If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

‘Sound’ means: is the Main Modification justified, effective, positively prepared and consistent with national policy?

Positively prepared?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

Justified?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

Effective?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

Consistent with national policy? Please select one answer

Yes

No

Your representation should succinctly cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and any suggested changes.

B4. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound? If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan, please also use this box to set out your representations.

Proposed MM 5 28-SP3 states that the principle of windfall development is acceptable under policies SP4,5,8,9,12 and 18. Broadgate support the additional clarity provided in the re-wording of this paragraph.

Please be as precise as possible.

B5. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed Main Modification to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. Having regard to the test you have identified at B3 above where this relates to soundness?

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

N/A

Please be as precise as possible.

B6. Do you have any comments on the updated Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal or Addendum to the Habitats Regulations Assessment in respect of this particular modification?

N/A

Please be as precise as possible.

PART B: Your representations

Please use a separate form for each representation.

B1. To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate?

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications (e.g. MM01):

MM 05

Description of the proposed Main Modification (e.g. Page 60, Section 8)

Page 30-32 – SP3

Please complete a separate form for each representation.

B2. Do you consider this proposed Main Modification is:

Legally compliant?

Please select one answer

Yes

X

No

Sound?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

X

B3: If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

‘Sound’ means: is the Main Modification justified, effective, positively prepared and consistent with national policy?

Positively prepared?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

Justified?

Please select one answer

Yes

No

Effective?

Please select one answer

Yes

X

No

Consistent with national policy? Please select one answer

Yes

No

Your representation should succinctly cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and any suggested changes.

B4. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound? If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan, please also use this box to set out your representations.

Proposed MM 5 30&32-SP4 is a change that Broadgate Homes support as it gives greater clarity to the criteria.

Proposed MM 5 30-32 -SP4 (page 18) Broadgate consider that the policy wording could be clarified (See B5).

Please be as precise as possible.

B5. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed Main Modification to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. Having regard to the test you have identified at B3 above where this relates to soundness?

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Proposed MM 5 30-32 -SP4 (page 18) Broadgate consider that the policy wording could be clarified by a small change to the text to read "Infill development will be supported where it does not impact on....." This serves to make the wording more positive and improves "may" which is generally not a word that should be used in policy because it is imprecise.

Please be as precise as possible.

B6. Do you have any comments on the updated Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal or Addendum to the Habitats Regulations Assessment in respect of this particular modification?

N/A

Please be as precise as possible.

PART B: Your representations