Part A: Personal Details

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Name and Organisation boxes for the client in 'Your Details', but complete the full contact details of the agent.

| | Your Details | Agent's Details* (if applicable) |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|
| Name (including title): | Mr Trevor Osbourne | |
| | | |
| Organisation (where relevant): | | |
| | | |
| Address: | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Post Code: | | |
| | | |
| Telephone number: | | |
| | | |
| Email address: | | |

NOTE:

Representations will only be accepted that refer to a proposed change shown in the *Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications to the Pre-Submission Draft Local Plan* (2017), the map changes or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Report, incorporating Main Modifications (2017) or Sustainability Appraisal Report, incorporating Main Modifications (2017).

Your responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector without prejudice to the Inspectors final report.

You should <u>not</u> repeat or re-submit your previous representations, these have already been considered by the Inspector during the examination process.

PART B: Your representations

Please use a separate form for each representation.

B1. To which proposed Main Modification does your representation relate?

| Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Proposed Main Modifications (e.g. MM01): | | мм30 |
|---|--|------|
|---|--|------|

| Description of the proposed Main Modification (e.g. Page 60, Section 8) | | Page 4 et seq |
|---|------|--|
| Please comp | lete | a separate form for each representation. |
| Please comp | iete | a separate form for each representati |

B2. Do you consider this proposed Main Modification is:

| Legally compliant? Please select one answer | | No | NO |
|---|--|----|----|
| | | | |
| Sound? Please select one answer | | No | NO |

B3: If you consider the proposed Main Modification to be unsound, please identify which test of soundness your representation relates to?

'Sound' means: is the Main Modification justified, effective, positively prepared and consistent with national policy?

| Positively prepared? Please select one answer | | No | NO |
|---|--|----|----|
| | | | |
| Justified? | | No | NO |
| Please select one answer | | | |
| | | | |
| Effective? | | No | NO |
| Please select one answer | | | _ |
| | | | |
| Consistent with national policy? | | No | NO |
| Please select one answer | | | |
| | | | |

Your representation should succinctly cover all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify your representation and any suggested changes.

B4. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound?

If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan, please also use this box to set out your representations.

The NPPF clearly states that rural communities must not be allowed to decay and die. By completely limiting significant development to ONLY take place in a limited, few in number, selection of towns and villages — only those villages defined as 'large' by ELDC ratings, the whole policy goes against the above stated NPPF.

This would lead to excessive expansion of the county's small to moderate Market Towns, destroying all their historic characters and leading to a completely unmanageable lack of essential infrastructure – such as schooling, medical facilities, public services, policing, and completely unacceptable strain on roads.

We see this already starting to happen in Louth.

The same applies to the 'large villages' deemed acceptable for development, but of course it would affect them to a much greater extent.

As a result I see the whole plan as being both legally not compliant with Government Policy as outlined in NPPF. It is unsound as it would lead to a total imbalance between our small and medium towns particularly, and some of our large villages also (not all the large villages of the county are included in the 'permitted list') being inflated far beyond their capacity to absorb expansion — and the very many medium and small settlements and communities decaying and dying off... the latter just becoming virtually 'geriatric collections' for incoming older people and retirees. This would also contribute strongly to the decay and death of those communities as there would be no opportunity for young, local, rural, couples to obtain housing in the areas of their family's history, and the death of rural schools. That last being among many village assets which would be under direct threat.

Please be as precise as possible.

B5. Please set out what changes you consider necessary to make the proposed Main Modification to the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. Having regard to the test you have identified at B3 above where this relates to soundness?

You will need to say why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

| To completely discard the 'rationing' of developments to the most recent previous plan, which had a far more sensible a district's development, spread over a far wider number and | and justifiable list of allocations for the |
|--|---|
| This would put the district policy back on track with the wo quality and standard of all the district. | ord and spirit of NPPF, enhancing the |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | Diagon ha na prosica na passible |
| | Please be as precise as possible. |

B6. Do you have any comments on the updated Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal or Addendum to the Habitats Regulations Assessment in respect of this particular modification?

| All previous comments, objections, suggestions, apply. | |
|--|--|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Please be as precise as possible.

PART C: Notification request

You can request to be notified at an address or email address of any future stages relating to the Local Plan.

C1. Would you like to be notified of future stages?

| Yes | YES | |
|-----|-----|--|
| res | 152 | |

C2. How would you like to be notified?

| By post to my address: | YES | |
|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | | |
| By post to my agent's address: | | |
| | | |
| By email to my email address: | | |
| | | |
| By email to my agent's address: | | |
| Please | select one | e answer. |

C3. Which stages would you like to be notified about:

| The publication of the recommendations of Planning Inspector? | YES | |
|---|-----|--|
| | | |
| The adoption of the Local Plan? | YES | |
| | | |

Data Protection Act 1988 and Freedom of Information Act 2000

Representations cannot be treated in confidence. Please see the attached privacy notice. The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012, requires copies of all representations to be made publically available, this will be done via the Council's website. The Council will not publish personal information such as addresses, telephone numbers, or email addresses. By submitting a representation you confirm that you agree to this and accept responsibility for your representations.

| Signature: | | Trevor S. Osbourne | | Date: | | 15 February 2018 | |
|--|--|--------------------|--|-------|--|---------------------|--|
| Please sign and date your representations. | | | | | | s. | |

PLEASE NOTE: A 'hard copy' with hand written signature will be given by hand to the ELDC Planning Department at Manby. TSO.